

YOUTH SERVICES: YOUTH OUTREACH AND FAMILY SUPPORT - OUTCOMES REPORT APRIL 1, 2017 - MARCH 31, 2018

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION Cranbrook based Youth Outreach and Family Support and Kimberley based Alcohol and Drug Youth Outreach and Support programs provide a variety of supportive services to youth and their families referred by social workers, community programs and services, or are self-referred. Services intend to reduce the impact of mental illness; substance abuse; homelessness; high-risk or criminal behaviour; and self-harming and suicidal behaviours. Ministry of Children and Family Development funds the Cranbrook full-time services, part-time services in Kimberley; and Interior Health funds additional part-time services in Kimberley.

Key Demographic Indicators	2014 2015	2015 2016	2016 2017	2017 2018	2018 2019	5 Year Comparative Average	Findings
# of male youth served	38	78	61	35	34	53	Males served is slightly lower than last year, and staff are not able to determine
# of female youth served	47	61	53	53	67	55	why this is happening, the number of females being served have risen in the
# of non-identifying youth				1	1		past year has shown a significant spike and is the highest it has been in 5 years. We have readmitted 26 people this year, this has been due to the positive
# of youth readmitted to	14	16	29	23	26	23	impacts the program has on the persons served wellbeing, and the ability to
program during the year							make healthier choices, and the comfort the person feels when speaking with staff.
Total # of individual youth served	85	139	114	89	102	109	
Key Demographic Indicators	2014 2015	2015 2016	2016 2017	2017 2018	2018 2019	3 Year Comparative Average	Findings
# of School / Community Groups Served	Data not recorded "	6	4	6	4	5	In addition to the eight-nine (89) individual youth served, staff completed 4 school / community groups during the year. Length of service ranged from 4 weeks to 6 months, with an average attendance of 7 youth each. Groups served
# (average) of youth served/group		7	7	7	7	7	as one unit in the database system as individual files are not opened for each participant attending group sessions. A total of 28 additional youth were served within a school or community-based group setting. Staff customized the course
Total # of youth served in groups		35	28	43	28	35	content to address specific topics such as: healthy relationships & trust; building coping skills for the management mental health problems; and communication for interpersonal relationships. Staff members also co-facilitated with another partnering organization (East Kootenay Addiction Services) for the Rock Solid Programs this year. 80 youth attended Rock Solid over two day period and these youth are not reflected in Agency statistics.
Combined # Served (Individually or in Group)	Data not recorded	174	142	132	132	149	The overall number of persons served during the year is comparable to last year despite some staffing vacancies throughout the year. This outcome is very positive and is greatly satisfying to program staff.

Key Demographic Indicators (continued):	2014 2015	2015 2016	2016 2017	2017 2018	2018 2019	5 Year Comparative Average	Findings
Average length of	6.75	7	5.7	5.6	6.25	6	The average length of service has remained consistent with the prior year's data.
service	mo.	mo.	mo.	mo.	mo	mo.	The funding Ministry (MCFD) and Youth Support Staff continues to focus on youth achieving goals and learning skills within a 3-6 month period.
Average wait time for service (Referral date to intake appointment date)	21 days	15 days	9 days	13 days	14 days	15 days	The average wait time for service increased slightly this year. Staff attribute this to brief waitlists that were held during staff vacancies. For those individuals not placed on a waitlist, intake was scheduled within a 1-10 day period. Procedures remain in place for initiating contact within 24 hours, followed by staff attending the home address or school on those occasions when telephone contact has not been successful after two attempts. An on-going factor impacting wait times is MCFD mandated clients. These clients can be particularly challenging to connect with to set up service.
Average age range	15.5 yrs.	16 yrs.	15 yrs.	15.5	15.4	15.5 yrs.	Consistent year over year, no trends established.
# of ethnic minority	11	14	20	20	13	15	This number includes only clients who self- identify as belonging to an ethnic
clients served	(13%)	(10%)	(16%)	(22%)	(13%)	(14%)	minority. Staff note there is a consistent and gradual increase of youth identifying as
							belonging to an ethnic minority. Staff will continue to monitor for trends.
File Status at Year End (*Parent info contained in youth file)	2014 2015	2015 2016	2016 2017	2017 2018	2018 2019	5 Year Comparative Average	Findings
Open	44	42	34	56	44	44	The number of open and closed files regularly fluctuates. No trend established.
Closed	73	97	114	89	102	95	
Risks & Barriers	2014 2015	2015 2016	2016 2017	2017 2018	2018 2019	5 Year Comparative Average	Findings
# Requiring	80	107	81	41	61	84	Staff continue to promote independence by assisting youth to utilize the bus
transportation	(95%)	(77%)	(71%)	(46%)	(58%)	(77%)	system, or promote physical activity. Due to remote and rural locations in the area and the location where persons served lives has been a barrier thus increased need for transportation support.
# No fixed address	11	13	8	11	8	10	Staff notes this statistic relies heavily on the period of time the youth is referred for
	(13%)	(9%)	(7%)	(12%)	(7%)	(10%)	services. Youth of no fixed address are most often referred by MCFD with the primary goal being to establish suitable housing.
# With Mental Health	31	52	38	29	28	42	This statistic is consistent with the prior year's data. Staff continues to record only
diagnosis	(36%)	(37%)	(33%)	(33%)	(32%)	(38%)	those clients with a formal "mental health diagnosis", rather than recording self-
							identifying mental health issues. These include ADHD, Depression, Anxiety, OCD, ODD, Panic Attacks, PTSD, Separation Disorder, FASD, ASD, Personality Disorder
# Actively using	32	35	38	28	21	33	Staff note this statistic is relatively lower than the past 5 years. Staff will continue to
tobacco/alcohol/ drugs	(27%)	(25%)	(33%)	(31%)	(20%)	(27%)	monitor this trend.

REFERRAL ELSEWHERE - Whenever risks and barriers such as those indicated above become prevailing factors, program staff work collaboratively with clients to ensure appropriate community referral sources are identified and to provide assistance with the referral elsewhere process. During the reporting period no youth were deemed to have barriers which identified them as being beyond our capacity to serve, however all youth accessing this service are regularly referred to appropriate community services. Examples of more specialized service referrals for youth on our caseloads include: Kids Help Phone, East Kootenay Addiction Services, EK Employment, Volunteer Kootenays, ANKORS, MCFD, Child & Youth Mental Health, Kelowna Eating Disorder Program, Interior Health Children's Assessment Network, Bellies to Babies, Cranbrook Food Bank, Salvation Army and various online apps and resources.

GOAL SETTING & RESULTS A standard component of the intake process is for staff to closely involve the person served in the setting of client goals. Service outcomes measuring safety risk, level of crisis, and their understanding and knowledge of resources available to them in the community are assessed at intake and at discharge. Eighty-nine (89) pre-surveys were distributed (this corresponds with the number of closed files). Of these, 57 youth also completed the post survey, which measures service outcome achievement ratios.

Objective: Effectiveness	Indicator	Who Applied to	Target Goal	Actual	Met or
Measures			Expectancy	Result	Exceeded
1. To reduce level of crisis and	% of clients indicating a	All youth accessing service who identified with crisis or safety		85%	✓
safety risk	reduced, or low safety risk	risk at intake and who completed both pre-post surveys	85%	28 of 33	
2. To increase knowledge of	% of clients indicating	All youth accessing service who identified low knowledge at		86%	
help available in the	increased knowledge of	intake and who completed both pre-post surveys.	85%	49 of 57	✓
community	community resources				
3. To increase ability to	% of clients indicating	All youth accessing services who identified low ability to make		100%	
consider options, find	increased ability to make	healthy choices at intake and who completed both pre-post.	85%	57 of 57	✓
solutions, and make healthy	healthy choices				
choices					

PAST PARTICIPANT FEEDBACK – Past Participant feedback is intended to solicit feedback from youth and their families after they have left the program. It is our hope, that once out of the program for several months, the past participant has formulated thoughts about the program that they not have had while in the program (i.e. Did the services actually assist in obtaining and maintaining the desired outcome?). Previously, surveys were the mechanism utilized to collect such data; however, due to the preventive nature of the services, program staff deemed it inappropriate to formally contact youth once discharged from services. In an effort to secure past-participant feedback, program staff, whenever appropriate, asked youth who were re-admitted to the program to complete the past participant survey. Twenty-three (23) youth were readmitted to the program during the course of the fiscal year. Twenty (20) of these youth, upon re-admittance, completed a past-participant survey. The results indicated that after discharge 15 of these individuals felt they had benefited from the skill sets gained and, because of the skills and knowledge gained had prompted them to re-enter the program to address a new challenge; 5 of these individuals felt they had not benefited or learned from the program previously, but were willing to re-enter the program and try again. Going forward staff will continue to solicit past participant feedback from clients who are readmitted to the program.

PROGRAM EFFICIENCIES: Staff in the youth outreach program, recognize that direct service to youth is crucial to achieving the client's goals, as well as meeting contract requirements. Direct service refers to all work directly related to the clients served such as face-to-face meetings, integrated case-management meetings with key support people, telephone, and transportation to and from the client, and other activities that are client specific. Direct service hours are recorded monthly and reported to the funding Ministry and internally reported in the Balanced Score Card. Staff are provided monthly targets for direct service utilization based on contract requirements of 316 hours (MCFD) and 41 hours (IHA) monthly. Efficiency results have been tabulated as follows.

bjective: Efficiency Measures Indicator		Who Applied to	Target Goal Expectancy	Actual Result	Met or
					Exceeded
Maintain Direct Service rates that meet	Average # of Direct	All youth accessing	Contract 1: MCFD requires monthly total	Contract 1: 100%=316	✓
the contract deliverables	Service Hours	services	direct service hours average 316	Actual: (avg 323.22	
				hrs/mo.)	
Maintain Direct Service rates that meet	Average # of Direct	All youth accessing	Contract 2: IHA requires monthly total	Contract 2: 100%=41	✓
the contract deliverables	Service Hours	services	direct service hours average 41	Actual:(36 avg. hrs/mo.)	

PROGRAM SATISFACTION:

Objective: Consumer / Stakeholder	Indicator	Who Applied to	Target Goal	Actual Result	Met or
Input Measures			Expectancy		Exceeded
1 .I felt comfortable talking to staff.	Percentage of clients who completed	All youth accessing services responding to	85%	87%	√
	satisfaction survey	the survey (55)		48 of 55	
2. How satisfied were you with the	Percentage of stakeholders who	All stakeholders responding to survey (11)	85%	100%	√
responsiveness of program staff?	completed stakeholder feedback			11 of 11	

PROGRAM ACCESSIBILITY: During the year program staff did not receive any requests for accommodation of clients accessing the program. As an on-going measure to enhance accessibility staff will monitor client contact rates, endeavoring to reduce wait time between referral and intake.

Objective: Access Measures	Indicator	Who Applied to	Target Goal	Actual Result	Met or
			Expectancy		Exceeded
1. To maintain or increase service utilization	Average # of days from	All clients accessing	1-12 days	14 days	X
rates	referral to intake	services			
	appointment.				

ADMINISTRATIVE OBJECTIVES

Objective: Key Administrative Tasks	Indicator	Who Applied to	Target Goal Expectancy	Actual Result	Met or Exceeded
1. Program Director will monitor to ensure that	Revised	Youth Client Files	Incorporate revisions and	ShareVision is up to date	
all program staff maintain client files and	annual		recommendations for annual	and congruent with	✓
implement the revisions in accordance with the	program		outcome reports for	Program Policy and	
Share Vision database.	reports.		implementations in the 2017-	Procedures and all client	
			2018 annual reports	files are current.	
2. Program Director will monitor to ensure all	Relias Learning	Program staff	All program staff completes	All staff is current with	
program staff continues to update and maintain	Reports		Relias Learning core and	required Relias trainings.	\checkmark
program training using Relias Learning.			program specific course		
			trainings.		
3. Program Director will monitor to ensure all	Improved	Youth Client Files	Increased accuracy in	The GAIN Short Screener	
program staff use the GAIN Short Screener (a	client		assessments of all youth who	assessment tool is a	\checkmark
screening tool developed by the Centre for	assessments		complete the GAIN Assessment	standard component of	
Addiction and Mental Health - CAMH) to quickly			intake tool.	the intake process. Staff	
identify issues and challenges in the following				use the assessment to	
areas: Internalizing behaviors, externalizing				develop goals and safety	
behaviours, substance misuse, crime and				for the youth.	
violence and eating disorders.					

Data Prepared by: Administrator of Child, Youth and Volunteer Services

Date: April 16 2019

Reviewed by: Executive Director Data Source: Share Vision database system and monthly reports